

well-supported Report and Recommendation, in which he fully addressed Defendant's arguments. Nonetheless, the Court has conducted a review of the record, specifically including those portions to which Defendant has objected, and the Court agrees with Magistrate Judge Shirley's analysis and conclusions.

Accordingly, the Court **ACCEPTS and ADOPTS** Magistrate Judge Shirley's findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations pursuant to § 636(b)(1) and Rule 72(b); Defendant's Objections (Doc. 16) are **OVERRULED**; Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. 11) is hereby **GRANTED IN PART** and **DENIED IN PART**; Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. 13) is hereby **GRANTED IN PART** and **DENIED IN PART**; and this action is hereby **REMANDED** to the Administrative Law Judge for the limited purpose of reevaluating Plaintiff's credibility and acquiring vocational expert testimony consistent with Judge Shirley's Report and Recommendation.

SO ORDERED this 2nd day of July, 2014.

/s/ Harry S. Mattice, Jr.
HARRY S. MATTICE, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE